Annexure-2
Name of the corporate debtor: Ind-Barath Energies (Maharashtra) Limited; Date of commencement of CIRP;31.05.2022; List of creditors as on:23.06.2022
List of unsecured financial creditors belonging to any class of creditors

nount		

	Name of creditor	Date of receipt	Amount claimed			I		0/ 6 /	Amount of contingent	Amount of any mutual not dues, that may admitted		m Amount of claim under verification	Remarks, if any
1			Amount claimed	Amount of Na	Nature of claim	Amount covered by Whether related guarantee party?		% of voting share in					
1				admitted		guarantee	party.	CoC	claim	be set-	aumitteu	verification	
	Triple S Electric Powers Private Limited	6/14/2022	55,627,489.00		Un Secured Financial Creditor	0	NO	0.00%	0	0	55,627,489.00	0	Reason for rejection: No proof submitted to suggest the the money had been disbursed against consideration fe time value i.e. failed to show that the transactions had commercial effect of borrowing. Any amount of money given in the absence of any time value to flow from the creditor to debtor cannot be considered as financial de within the meaning of Section 5(8) of IBC, 2016. It applies that the money has been given by the claimant withou any stipulation with regard to the return of loan amount other terms and conditions with respect to tenure, interest, hence your claim as a Financial Creditor against the Corporate Debtor stands rejected.
	Rangrao Baburao Gaikwad	6/13/2022	32,478,076.80	-	Un Secured Financial Creditor		NO	0.00%	0	0	32,478,077	0	Reason for rejection: No proof submitted to suggest the money had been disbursed against consideration for time value i.e. failed to show that the transactions had commercial effect of borrowing. Any amount of mone given in the absence of any time value to flow from the creditor to debtor cannot be considered as financial de within the meaning of Section (58) or flex, 2016. It app that the money has been given by the claimant withou any stipulation with regard to the return of loan amou other terms and conditions with respect to tenure, intelect, hence the claim as a Financial Creditor against the Corporate Debtor stands rejected. Further note that th rejection is in line with the Order issued by Hon'ble NC Hyderabad on 19.11.2019 in the matter of petition CP NO.IB/29/7/HDB/2019, dismissing the petition moved you U/s 7 of the IBC, 2016.
3	Ramesh Purushottam Pandit	6/14/2022	28,500,000.00	-	Un Secured Financial Creditor	0	NO	0.00%	0	0	28,500,000	0	leason for rejection: No proof submitted to suggest the money had been disbursed against consideration time value i.e. failed to show that the transactions ha commercial effect of borrowing. Any amount of mon given in the absence of any time value to flow from it creditor to debtor cannot be considered as financial within the meaning of Section 5(8) of IBC, 2015. It ap that the money has been given by the claimant witho any stipulation with regard to the return of loan amo other terms and conditions with respect to tenure, in etc., hence the claim as a Financial Creditor against the Corporate Debtor stands rejected.
4	Purushottam Vijay Pandit	6/14/2022	1,710,000.00		Un Secured Financial Creditor	0	NO	0.00%	0	0	1,710,000	0	Reason for rejection: No proof submitted to suggest the money had been disbursed against consideration time value i.e. failed to show that the transactions he commercial effect of borrowing. Any amount of mor given in the absence of any time value to flow from treditor to debtor cannot be considered as financial within the meaning of Section 5(8) of IBC, 2016. It at that the money has been given by the claimant with any stipulation with regard to the return of loan am other terms and conditions with respect to tenure, if etc., hence your claim as a Financial Creditor against Corporate Debtor stands rejected.
5	Jadhav Balaji Devidas	6/14/2022	100,000.00	-	Un Secured Financial Creditor	0	NO	0.00%	0	0	100,000	0	The proof of claim submitted suggests that the amou deposited with RSP LIP and not Ind-Braath Energies (Maharashtra) Ltd. Also, the amount was paid by the claiment in cash and there is no evidence to prove th amount has reached the CD's bank a/c
6	Husen Chandsab Shaikh	6/14/2022	100,000.00		Un Secured Financial Creditor	0	NO	0.00%	0	0	100,000	0	The proof of claim submitted suggests that the amou deposited with RSP LIP and not Ind-Barath Energies (Maharashtra) Ltd. Also, the amount was paid by the claiment in cash and there is no evidence to prove the amount has reached the CD's bank a/c